UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,924	02/23/2004	Hirotsuna Miura	118763	7531
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 12/03/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	HEINRICH, SAMUEL M		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/782,924	MIURA ET AL.		
	Art Unit		
Samuel M. Heinrich	3742		

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Revie	ew filed 21 Octo	ber 2008.				
 Improper Request – The Regression (s): 	Request is improper and	a conference v	will not be held for the following				
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. ☐ Other: 							
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.							
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.							
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 60-62, 66-Claim(s) withdrawn from core	<u>-72</u> .	m(s) is as follow	vs:				
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.							
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.							
All participants:							
(1) Samuel M. Heinrich.	((3) <u>Tom Hughes</u>	S .				
(2) <u>Tu Hoang</u> .	((4)					
/Samuel M Heinrich/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742	/TU B HOANG/ Supervisory Patent Ex Unit 3742	aminer, Art	/Tom Hughes/ TQAS, TC 3700				